





Oy

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/497,620	02/03/2000	Douglas E. Ott	15006.0007U2	7719	
75	90 02/03/2003				
D. EDWARD DOLGORUKOV			EXAMINER		
FOUR SEAGA	- 		THOMPSON,	THOMPSON, MICHAEL M	
EIGHTH FLOOR TOLEDO, OH 43604			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3763		
			DATE MAILED: 02/03/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

ĺ		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/497,620	OTT ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Michael M. Thompson	3763			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 f	November 2002 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.				
3)□	·					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 32-43 is/are pending in the application	n.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s)is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>32-43</u> is/are rejected.					
7) 🗆	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) 🗆	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.						
15)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)			
$\cdot \cdot =$	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	· =	al Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and To PTO-326 (Re	rademark Office	etion Summary	Part of Paper No. 13			

Application/Control Number: 09/497,620 Page 1

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 32-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bartels et al. (U.S. 4,621,632). Gradon et al. teaches a method of providing for a period of time, heated and humidified gas into a patient by directing a gas from a source to a chamber, humidifying the gas within the chamber with liquid, sensing the humidity of the gas as it exits the chamber and monitoring the humidity of the gas exiting the chamber, replenishing the liquid, determining relative humidity, heating the gas, filtering the gas, sensing the temperature, controlling the electrical power, wherein the step of heating and humidifying performed on the gas is simultaneous, with the chamber adjacent to the patient.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/497,620

Art Unit: 3763

4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

5. Claim 44 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bartels et al. in view of Ott et al. (5,411,474). Bartels et al. teaches all of the limitations of the claims except for filtering the gas prior to the step of humidifying. Ott et al. teaches that "It is known to filter insufflation gas" to prevent passing of inorganic molecules. He further states, "The location and type of filter, however, are very important factors which will influence the effectiveness of the method." It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to have modified the insufflation device taught by Bartels et al. with the placement of a filter as taught by the insufflation device of Ott et al. for the purpose of preventing inorganic molecules from reaching the respiratory system.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 32-44 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/497,620

Art Unit: 3763

Contacts

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Michael Thompson whose telephone number is (703) 305-1619. The Examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9 am to 5 PM.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's Primary, AnhTuan Nguyen, can be reached on (703) 308-2154. The official fax phone number for submissions to the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9302. The official fax phone number for submission of After Final response is (703) 872-9303. Michael M. Thompson

Patent Examiner

MT M

January 24, 2003

MANUEL MENDEZ PRIMARY EXAMINER